

The level of distribution of the sum-of-digits function in arithmetic progressions

Nathan TOUMI
Université de Lorraine, IECL

Numeration 2024
Universiteit Utrecht
June 2024



Introduction

Let $q, b \geq 2$ such that $(b, q - 1) = 1$.

Introduction

Let $q, b \geq 2$ such that $(b, q - 1) = 1$.

Every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be uniquely written as

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k q^k,$$

where $n_k \in \{0, \dots, q - 1\}$ for all $k \geq 0$ are the digits which are zero starting from some finite rank.

Introduction

Let $q, b \geq 2$ such that $(b, q - 1) = 1$.

Every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be uniquely written as

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k q^k,$$

where $n_k \in \{0, \dots, q - 1\}$ for all $k \geq 0$ are the digits which are zero starting from some finite rank.

$$s_q(n) = s_q \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k q^k \right) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k.$$

Introduction

How this function distributes itself within arithmetic progressions ?

Introduction

How this function distributes itself within arithmetic progressions ?

Theorem A (Gelfond, 1967/1968)

Let $q, b, m \geq 2$ be integers such that $(q-1, b) = 1$. Then, for all $a \in \{0, \dots, b-1\}$ and for all $r \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$, we have

$$|\{n < N : s_q(n) \equiv a \pmod{b}, n \equiv r \pmod{m}\}| = \frac{N}{bm} + O(N^\lambda),$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2 \log(q)} \log \frac{q \sin(\pi/2b)}{\sin(\pi/2bq)} < 1.$$

Introduction

This result is non-trivial only if $m < N^{1-\lambda}$.

Introduction

This result is non-trivial only if $m < N^{1-\lambda}$.

For larger values of m , in many applications, a result on average on m is sufficient.

Introduction

This result is non-trivial only if $m < N^{1-\lambda}$.

For larger values of m , in many applications, a result on average on m is sufficient.

We have to estimate

$$\sum_{1 \leq m \leq x^\theta} \max_{\substack{y, z \geq 0 \\ z - y \leq x}} \max_{0 \leq r < m} \left| A_q(y, z; m, r) - \frac{z - y}{bm} \right|$$

where

$$A_q(y, z; a, b, m, r) = \left| \{y \leq n < z : s_q(n) \equiv a \pmod{b}, n \equiv r \pmod{m}\} \right|,$$

with $0 < \theta = \theta_q < 1$ as large as possible.

The level of distribution of the sum-of-digits function in base 2

We set

$$A_2(z; a, b, m, r) = \left| \{y \leq n < z : s_2(n) \equiv a \pmod{b}, n \equiv r \pmod{m}\} \right|.$$

Theorem B (Fouvry/Mauduit, 1996)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D = x^{0.55771}$.

There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq m \leq D} \max_{1 \leq z \leq x} \max_{0 \leq r < m} \left| A_2(z; a, b, m, r) - \frac{z}{bm} \right| \leq Cx(\log 2x)^{-A}, \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The level of distribution of the Thue–Morse sequence

The Thue–Morse sequence t is defined by

$$t = (t(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} := ((-1)^{s_2(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

We set

$$A(y, z; r, m) = \left| \{y \leq n < z : t(n) = 1, n \equiv r \pmod{m}\} \right|.$$

The level of distribution of the Thue–Morse sequence

The Thue–Morse sequence t is defined by

$$t = (t(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} := ((-1)^{s_2(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

We set

$$A(y, z; r, m) = \left| \{y \leq n < z : t(n) = 1, n \equiv r \pmod{m}\} \right|.$$

Theorem C (Spiegelhofer, 2020)

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq m \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}} \max_{\substack{y, z \geq 0 \\ z-y \leq x}} \max_{0 \leq r < m} \left| A(y; z, r, m) - \frac{z-y}{2m} \right| \ll x^{1-\eta}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Main results

We recall that

$$A_q(y, z; a, b, m, r) = \left| \{y \leq n < z : s_q(n) \equiv a \pmod{b}, n \equiv r \pmod{m}\} \right|.$$

Main results

We recall that

$$A_q(y, z; a, b, m, r) = \left| \{y \leq n < z : s_q(n) \equiv a \pmod{b}, n \equiv r \pmod{m}\} \right|.$$

Theorem 1 (T., 2024)

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq m \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}} \max_{\substack{y, z \\ 0 \leq y < z \\ z-y \leq x}} \max_{r \geq 0} \left| A_q(y, z; a, b, m, r) - \frac{z-y}{bm} \right| \leq Cx^{1-\eta}.$$

An admissible value for η is given for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\varepsilon) &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon^3 \min(1/4, 3 \log_q(P^-(q)))}{3600 \times 8^{1/\varepsilon} (\log(4q/\varepsilon) + 5b \log(q)/\varepsilon)} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{5}{\varepsilon} \left(\log(4q/\varepsilon) + \frac{5b \log(q)}{\varepsilon}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The main challenge

Spiegelhofer's idea: reduce the main problem to a problem involving Gowers norms of the Thue–Morse sequence defined by

Definition: Gowers norm of the Thue–Morse sequence

The Gowers norm of the Thue–Morse is the quantity defined for $k, \rho \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$A(k, \rho) = \frac{1}{2^{(k+1)\rho}} \sum_{0 \leq n < 2^\rho} \prod_{w=0}^{2^k-1} t(n + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{h}).$$

$\mathbf{h} = (h_0, \dots, h_{k-1}) \in \{0, \dots, 2^\rho - 1\}^k$

The main challenge

Definition: Gowers norm of the Thue–Morse sequence

The Gowers norm of the Thue–Morse is the quantity defined for $k, \rho \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$A(k, \rho) = \frac{1}{2^{(k+1)\rho}} \sum_{0 \leq n < 2^\rho} \prod_{w=0}^{2^k-1} t(n + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{h}).$$

$\mathbf{h} = (h_0, \dots, h_{k-1}) \in \{0, \dots, 2^\rho - 1\}^k$

The main challenge

Definition: Gowers norm of the Thue–Morse sequence

The Gowers norm of the Thue–Morse is the quantity defined for $k, \rho \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$A(k, \rho) = \frac{1}{2^{(k+1)\rho}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < 2^\rho \\ \mathbf{h} = (h_0, \dots, h_{k-1}) \in \{0, \dots, 2^\rho - 1\}^k}} \prod_{w=0}^{2^k - 1} t(n + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{h}).$$

Theorem D, Konieczny (2019)

There exists $\eta = \eta(k) > 0$ such that for $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$A(k, \rho) \ll 2^{-\eta\rho}.$$

The main challenge

Theorem 2 (T., 2024)

Let $k \geq 3$ and $\ell \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$.

We set

$$K = \left\lfloor \frac{\log(k)}{\log(q)} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

We define

$$\eta_0 := \frac{1}{\log(q)(K + (k+1)b)q^{(k+1)(K+b(k+1))}}.$$

Then, as $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\frac{1}{q^{(k+1)\rho}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < q^\rho \\ 0 \leq h_0, \dots, h_{k-1} < q^\rho}} e \left(\frac{\ell}{b} \sum_{\mathbf{w}=(w_0, \dots, w_{k-1}) \in \{0,1\}^k} (-1)^{s_2(\mathbf{w})} s_q(n + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{h}) \right) \ll q^{-\eta_0 \rho}.$$